

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/975,623	10/10/2001	Allen K. Yu	10011167	8371
7590 12/30/2004			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			FRANKLIN, JAMARA ALZAIDA	
Intellectual Property Administration			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 272400			ARTONII	,FAFER NOMBER
Fort Collins, C	O 80527-2400		2876	

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			An
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- VP
Advisory Action	09/975,623	YU, ALLEN K.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jamara A. Franklin	2876	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriation of the fee.	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	·		
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) \( \square\) they raise new issues that would require furth-	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	· / <del></del>		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-6 and 8-20</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	roved or b)□ disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		7
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>			<b>,</b>
	/	MICHAEL G. LI	
	( 3)	PERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CENTE	examiner —— R 2800

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the examiner submits that the Gobburu and Kay references read upon the claimed invention.

Continuation of 10. Other: Claims 1, 3-6, and 8-20 remain rejected as set forth in the final rejection of paper no. 804.